Application/Control Number: 10/609,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1 through 17 are allowable for the reasons set forth below. The restriction requirement of the Elections of Species between Species A through C, as set forth in the Office action mailed on August 10, 2005, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim.

NOTE: Claims 2 through 5 and 8 through 17 require all the limitations of the allowable generic Claim 1 as required by 37 CFR 1.141. Therefore, Claims 2 through 5 and 8 through 17, have been rejoined and fully examined for patentability with allowable generic Claim 1.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/609,046

Art Unit: 3729

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

NOTE: The following changes are minor in nature and are necessary to correct mere grammatical errors in the claims. These changes in no way affect the scope of the claimed invention.

Claims 2, 8, 14 and 17 have been amended as follows.

In Claim 2, "a magnetic" (line 2) has been changed to --the magnetic--.

In Claim 8, "the thin" (line 1) has been replaced with --the first and second thin--.

In Claim 14, "the tantalum" (line 1) has been replaced with --the first and second tantalum--; and "the rhodium" (1<sup>st</sup> occurrence on line 2) has been replaced with --the first and second rhodium--.

In Claim 17, "the tantalum" (line 1) has been replaced with --the first and second tantalum--; and "the rhodium" (1<sup>st</sup> occurrence on line 2) has been replaced with --the first and second rhodium--.

## Reasons for Allowance

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

The applicant(s) arguments, as filed in the Appeal Brief on August 28, 2006, have been found to be persuasive and are fully incorporated by reference herein.

The prior art does not teach, *inter alia*, fabricating thin film rhodium pads on the thin film chromium pads (as required at line 9 of Claim 1, lines 20-24 of Claim 12 and lines 20-24 of Claim 15).

The examiner further adds that while rhodium is a well known electrically conductive material and can be used as a conductive material for pads (as noted by Dates et al), the prior art does not specifically teach that rhodium is formed on thin film chromium pads, or in a specific order of first forming thin film chromium pads on first and second tantalum pads, then fabricating thin film rhodium pads on the thin film chromium pads.

So with respect to the combination of Pinarbasi'764 and Dates et al, as applied in the Final Rejection (mailed on April 5, 2006), it would not be obvious to modify Pinarbasi'764 in view of Dates, because Dates in no way suggests forming rhodium pads *specifically on* chromium pads.

Accordingly, Claims 1 through 17 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Dexter Tugbang

Primary Examine

Art Unit 3729

November 17, 2006